

Implications of Livelihood Strategies on Household Dietary Diversity in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa

**Lovemore Musemwa^{1*}, Simbarashe Ndhleve², Melusi Sibanda³, Leocadia Zhou⁴,
Voster Muchenje⁵ and Motebang Dominic Vincent Nakin²**

¹*Department of Agricultural Economics, Education and Extension,
Bindura University of Science Education, P. Bag 1020, Bindura, Zimbabwe*

²*Risk and Vulnerability Science Centre (RVSC), Walter Sisulu University,
Nelson Mandela Drive Campus, Mthatha, RSA*

³*Department of Agriculture, University of Zululand, P. Bag X1001,
KwaDlangezwa, 3886, RSA*

⁴*Risk and Vulnerability Science Centre (RVSC), University of Fort Hare, Alice, RSA*

⁵*Department of Livestock and Pasture Science, University of Fort Hare, Alice, RSA*

KEYWORDS Dietary Diversity. Food Insecurity. Integrated Farming Systems. Malnutrition. Non-agricultural Activities

ABSTRACT Two hundred (200) households were randomly selected in Tsolwani and Nkonkobe Local Municipalities in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa to determine their dietary diversity using the Household Dietary Diversity Indicator Guide. The highest proportion of respondents (60%) practised agriculture, with thirty-one percent specialising in livestock production, twenty-one percent in both crop and livestock, and eight percent in crop production only. The mean frequency of meals consumed daily by the participants was significantly higher for households practising mixed farming systems ($p < 0.05$). The Household Dietary Diversity Scores (HDDS) for participants was 5.16. The higher the score, the more a household is food secure in terms of a balanced diet. HDDS was significantly higher for farmers practising integrated farming (5.97 ± 2.416), than households practising non-agricultural activities as the main livelihood strategy (4.65 ± 1.919) ($p < 0.05$). Mixed farming systems should therefore be prioritised if South Africa is to reduce the growing incidences of malnutrition.