

An Overview of Genetic and Molecular Factors Responsible for Recurrent Pregnancy Loss

P. T. Chaithra¹, Suttur S. Malini¹ and C. Sharath Kumar²

¹*Molecular Reproductive and Human Genetics Laboratory, Department of Studies in Zoology, University of Mysore, Manasagangothri, Mysore 570 006, Karnataka, India*

²*Mediwave IVF and Fertility Research Hospital, Mysore, Karnataka, India*

KEYWORDS Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. Genetic Factors. Molecular Factors

ABSTRACT Recurrent pregnancy loss usually results from disorders that cause intrauterine fetal damage, such as maternal or paternal chromosomal abnormalities. About 15 to 20 percent of all recognized pregnancies end in a first-trimester spontaneous abortion. Parents who are carriers of structural abnormalities have a higher risk of miscarriage because of the aberrations in genetic information may not segregate properly into the reproductive cells. This may be due to translocations, inversions, deletions, and duplications causing pregnancy loss. It is believed that between 3 and 5 percent of recurrent miscarriages are due to genetic factors, about 7 percent are caused by chromosome defects, 15 percent to hormonal defects, and 10 to 15 percent to anatomical defects. The most common cause of early pregnancy losses are chromosomal abnormalities that occur by chance, except in the case of parental chromosomal rearrangements and are not under any controllable influences. This review focuses on the genetic and molecular abnormalities that may contribute to this clinical problem and delineates strategies for genetic evaluation and clinical management in subsequent pregnancies

INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy loss is a common problem which results in the spontaneous loss of a pregnancy before 24 weeks' gestation, when the foetus has a chance of survival outside the womb. Recurrent Pregnancy Loss (RPL) is defined as two or more consecutive spontaneous abortions. RPL affects about 1 percent of the child-bearing population and presents couples with this disorder of formidable challenge in successfully having a family (Ford and Schust 2009). Although a few cases of RPL are sporadic, most couples experience genetic basis as a challenging clinical dilemma. A variety of possible etiologies have been described for both sporadic and RPL (Stray et al. 1984). Using the very sensitive pregnancy tests that are available now, it is known that one out of two pregnancies end in very early miscarriage (Macklon et al. 2002). In the past the majority of these would have been passed off as late or heavy menses. Even after a clinically diagnosed pregnancy, one out of 5-6 pregnancies end in a miscarriage between 4th and 20th week of gestation (Gracia et al. 2005). This review focuses on the genetic abnormalities and molecular factors that may contribute to this clinical problem and delineates strategies for genetic

evaluation and clinical management in subsequent pregnancies.

The proposed causes of RPL are parental chromosomal abnormalities, uterine anatomic anomalies, endometrial infections, endocrine etiologies (luteal phase defect, thyroid dysfunction, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus), antiphospholipid syndrome, inherited thrombophilias, alloimmune causes and environmental factors (Kutteh 1999) as mentioned in Table 1. Among the various proposed etiologies, genetic factors appear to be highly associated with reproductive loss (Byrne et al. 1994; Sierra and Stephenson 2006).

Table 2 shows numerical and structural variations in the chromosomes resulting RPL. Chromosome abnormalities are the cause for pregnancy loss in 50 to 80 percent of cases, depending on maternal age and gestational age at time of the loss (Hogge et al. 2003). Cytogenetically abnormal embryos are usually aneuploid because of sporadic events, such as meiotic non-disjunction, or polyploid from fertilization abnormalities. Incidence of numerical and structural chromosome abnormalities in spontaneous abortuses is reported to be almost half (47.9%), 9.8 percent, polyploid (mostly triploid) 8.6 percent 45, X, and 26.8 percent trisomic for one or another chromosome (Miller and Therman 2001).

Autosomal trisomies are involved in 50 percent of the cytogenetically abnormal abortuses

Corresponding Author:
Dr. S.S. Malini
Telephone: 08212419784 (O)
E-mail: ssmalinisri@yahoo.co.in

Table 1: Etiologies of pregnancy loss

<i>Genetic Causes</i>	- o Aneuploidy - o Somatic - o Sex chromosome - o Mendelian disorders - o Multifactorial disorders - o Parental chromosomal abnormalities (translocations) - o Chromosomal inversions. (Sullivan et al. 2004)
<i>Immunologic Causes</i>	- o Autoimmune causes (Festin et al. 2007) - o Alloimmune causes (Porter and Scott 2000)
<i>Anatomic Causes</i>	- o Uterine müllerian anomaly - o Uterine septum (the anomaly most commonly associated with pregnancy loss) - o Hemiteruter (unicornuate uterus) - o Bicornuate uterus - o Diethylstilbestrol-linked condition - o Acquired defects (eg. Asherman syndrome) - o Incompetent cervix - o Leiomyomas - o Uterine polyps. (Saravolos and Cocksedge 2010.)
<i>Infectious Causes</i>	o <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>, <i>Toxoplasma gondii</i>, rubella, herpes simplex virus (HSV), measles, cytomegalovirus, and coxsackieviruses. (Ford and Schust 2009).
<i>Environmental</i>	- o Smoking - o Excessive alcohol consumption - o Caffeine (Vibeke 2003)
<i>Endocrine Factors</i>	- o Diabetes mellitus - o Antithyroid antibodies - o Luteal phase deficiency (Arredondo and Noble 2006)
<i>Hematologic Disorders</i>	o Thrombophilia (Robertson et al. 2006)

in the first trimester as summarized in Table 3. They may arise *de novo* because of meiotic non-disjunction during gametogenesis in parents with normal karyotypes (Lebedev and Nazarenko 2001). Viable trisomies have been observed for chromosomes 13, 16, and 21. Turner syndrome is frequently observed and most common sex chromosomal abnormality which accounts for 20-25 percent of cytogenetically abnormal abortuses (Uematsu et al. 2002). Triploidy and tetraploidy are related to abnormal fertilization and are not compatible with life. Triploidy is found in 16 percent of abortions, with fertilization of a normal haploid ovum by dispermy as

Table 2: Numerical and structural chromosomal variations in the abortus resulting in recurrent pregnancy loss

<i>Numerical variations in chromosomes</i>	- Trisomy 21 - Trisomy 13 - Trisomy 18 45, XO 47, XXY 45, X/46, X 45, X/47, XXX 45, X/45, i(X)/46, XY	- Stephenson et al. 2002 - Diego-Alvarez et al. 2006. - Dubey et al. 2005
<i>Structural variations in chromosomes</i>	46, XX/46X, r(X) 46, XX/47, XX, +17 46, XY/47, XX, +marker 46, XX, dup(4) (12q-q21) 46, XY, del(12) (q13-q14) 46, XX, t(7;14) (q36;q11.2) 46, XY, inv(9) (p11;q13) 46, XX, 22pst+* 46, XX, 9qh+* 46, XX, 13ps+, 14ps+* 46, XX, 14ps+* 46, XY, 14ps+* 46, XX, 15p+* 46, XX, 21ps+* 46, XX, 22ps+* 46, XY, 14ps+, 21ps+*	- Vajira et al. 2009 - Dubey et al. 2005

Dup- duplication, del-deletion, inv- inversion, *Polymorphic chromosomal variants

This table refers only to the Numerical and structural chromosomal variations in the abortus resulting in recurrent pregnancy loss. The other factors are mentioned in the manuscript.

the primary pathogenic mechanism (Zaragoza et al. 2000). Tetraploidy occurs in approximately 8 percent of chromosomally abnormal abortions, resulting from failure of an early cleavage division in an otherwise normal diploid zygote. Structural chromosomal abnormalities occur in approximately 3 percent of cytogenetically abnormal abortuses. These problems in men often lead to low sperm concentrations, abnormal sperms or teratozoospermia male infertility, and therefore, a reduced likelihood of pregnancy and increased miscarriage (Puscheck et al. 2007).

Translocations are the most common types of structural abnormalities and can be balanced or unbalanced (Midro et al. 1991). Slightly more than one half of unbalanced rearrangements result from abnormal segregation of Robertsonian translocations and the rest arise *de novo* during gametogenesis. In reciprocal translocations, there is an exchange of material between non-homologous chromosomes (Ogasawara et al. 2004). The unbalanced distribution of the chromosomes involved in the translocation, leads to partial trisomy for one chromosome and partial monosomy for the other chromosome. Balanced

Table 3: Structural chromosome abnormalities of the carrier couples with repeated miscarriage

	Female	Male	References
<i>Reciprocal Translocations</i>	46,XX,t(14;X)(q11;q12)	46,XY,t(5;12)(p15.1;p12.2)	MED FA 2011
	46,XX,t(7;10)(p21;p13)	46,XY,t(6;17)(q21;q24.2)	Stephenson 2006
	46,XX,t(4;6)(q35.2;q12)	46,XY,t(8;10)(p21.3;q24.3)	Mokate et al. 2006
	46,XX,t(3;6)(q12;q12)	46,XY,t(1;17)(p36.2;q23.1)	Goddijn 2004
	46,XX,t(4;6)(q31.3;q21)	46,XY,t(5;9)(q23.2;q22.3)	Sugiura-Ogasawara 2004
	46,XX,t(8;11)(q11.23;q24.2)	46,XY,t(11;13)(p13;q22.3)	
	46,XX,t(2;6)(q33;q23)	46,XY,t(8;18)(q11.2;q21.3)	
	46,XX,t(7;18)(q11.21;q21.1)	46,XY,t(7;13)(p13;q21.2)	
	46,XX,t(2;4)(q36.3;q13.3)	46,XY,t(6;13)(q10;q10)	
	46,XX,t(4;9)(q35;q31)	46,XY,t(5;14)(q11.2;q32.1)	
	46,XX,t(1;6)(q42.1;q24.2)	46,XY,t(5;7)(p13;p15)	
	46,XX,t(8;12)(q22;q22)	46,XY,t(1;6)(p36.1;p22.1)	
	46,XX,t(4;15)(q33;q26)	46,XY,t(8;17)(q24.1;p13.1)	
	46,XX,t(7;10)(q31.2;q23.2)	46,XY,t(1;3)(q21;q25)	
	46,XX,t(11;12)	46,XY,t(2;13)(q35;q32)	
	46,XX,t(2;12)(q13;q24.31)	46,XY,t(5;12)(q35.1;q24.1)	
	46,XX,t(3;19)(q25.1;q13.3)	46,XY,t(5;17)(q33.1;q25.3)	
	46,XX,t(1;7)(q32.1;q32)	46,XY,t(6;16)(q25.3;p13.3)	
	46,XX,t(1;10)(q23;q22.3)	46,XY,t(8;12)(p23.1;p13.3)	
	46,XX,t(1;11)(p34.3;q13)	46,XY,t(10;13)(q23.3;q13)	
	46,XX,t(1;16)(p35.3~p36.1;p13.3)	46,XY,t(11;21)(p15.4;q22.1)	
	46,XX,t(2;8)(p15;q13.1)		
	46,XX,t(2;12)(p25;q13)		
	46,XX,t(3;6)(q25~q26;q23~q24)		
	46,XX,t(3;6)(q25;q23.1)		
	46,XX,t(3;15)(p13;q26.1)		
	46,XX,t(4;6)(q31.1;q22.32)		
	46,XX,t(4;10)(q13.3;q24.3)		
	46,XX,t(5;12)(p15.1;q22)		
	46,XX,t(11;12)(p15.4;p13.2)		
	46,XX,t(11;20)(q13;p13)		
	46,XX,t(14;18)(q22;q11.2)		
	46,XX,t(16;20)(q24;p13)		
	46,XX,t(17;18)(q21.1;q12.2)		
	mos46,XX[20]/46,X,t(X;14)(p21.1;q21)[10]		
<i>Robertsonian Translocations</i>	45,XX,der(13;22)(q10;q10)	45,XY,der(13;14)(q10;q10)	Mokate et al. 2006
	45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10) ^a	45,XY,der(13;14)	Stephenson 2006
	Y;22 translocations	45,XY,der(13;14)	Goddijn 2004
	46,XX,der(22)t(Y;22)(q12;p12/13)	45,XY,der(13;q14q)	
	46,XX,der(22)t(Y;22)(q12;p12/13)		
	45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10)		
	45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10)		
	45,XX,der(13q;22q)(q10;q10)		
	45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10) 45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10)		
	45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10)		
	45,XX,der(13;14)(q10;q10)		
	45,XX,der(13;14)		
<i>Pericentric Inversions</i>	46,XX,inv(1)(p32q44)	46,XY,inv(2)(p11.2q13)	Gaber et al. 2010
	46,XX,inv(9)(p12q12)	46,XY,inv(5)(p15.3q35)	Figen Celep and Ahmet
	46,XX,inv(8)(p11.22q13.1)	46,XY,inv(9)(p13q13)	Karagüzel 2008.
	46,XX,inv(9)(p13q13)	46,XY,inv(17)(p13.1q25.3)	Stephenson 2006
	46,XX,inv(3)(p21.33q22.2)	46,XY,inv10(p11.2q21.2)	Mozdarani et al. 2007.
	46,XX,inv(6)(p12.2p25.1)	46,XY,inv(9)(p11q12)	
	46,XX,inv(2)(p24.2q21.1)		
	46,XX,inv(7)(q22.2q21.1) pat		
	46,XX,inv(2)(p11.2q13)		
	46,XX,inv(9)(p11q12)		
<i>Paracentric Inversions</i>	46,XX,inv(6)(p21.3p25)	46,XY,inv(12)(q15q24.1)	Gaber et al. 2010
	46,XX,ish inv(8)(p21p23) ^b		Stephenson 2006
	46,XX,inv(11)(q21q23)		Goddijn 2004
	46,XX,inv(2)(p11q13)		Sugiura-Ogasawara 2004

^aThe carrier of this translocation is known to have autosomal benign myopathy.^bThe inv(8)(p21p23) was confirmed by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization.^cThe inversion was only detected in second instance after the birth of a child with congenital abnormalities (see Discussion).

translocations account for the largest percentage of these karyotypic abnormalities. They can cause pregnancy loss because segregation during meiosis results in gametes with duplication or deficiency of chromosome segments (Bhasin et al. 1973). The severity of the phenotype depends on the chromosomes involved and on the positions of their breakpoints. Other structural rearrangements, such as inversions or ring chromosomes, are relatively rare. These chromosomal abnormalities can be associated with congenital malformations and mental retardation, as well as spontaneous abortion. Other chromosome abnormalities include chromosome inversions, sex chromosome mosaicism and ring chromosomes. Single gene defects might also be responsible for multiple miscarriages, but will not be detected by a karyotype (Macklon 2002).

Genetic Abnormalities/ Mendelian Disorders

Certain genetic mutations, such as the autosomal dominant disorder leading to myotonic dystrophy, may predispose a patient to infertility or even miscarriage (Fiorentino et al. 2005). The cause of the abortion in this disease is unknown, but it may be related to abnormal gene interactions combined with disordered uterine function and implantation defects. Other presumed autosomal dominant disorders associated with RPL include lethal skeletal dysplasias, such as thanatophoric dysplasia and type II osteogenesis imperfecta (Souka et al. 2001). Maternal disease associated with increased fetal wastage includes connective tissue disorders (Hernández et al. 2002), such as Marfan syndrome (Yudaeva 2009), Ehlers-Danlos syndrome homocystinuria (Bick et al. 2003) and pseudoxanthoma elasticum (Byrne et al. 1994; Bick 2008). Hematologic abnormalities associated with RPL include dysfibrinogenemia (Blumenfeld and Brenner 1999), factor XIII deficiency (Pauer et al. 2003), congenital hypofibrinogenemia (Zdziarska et al. 2009) and afibrinogenemia (Bick 2008), and sickle cell anemia (Ilham et al. 2002). Women with sickle cell anemia are at increased risk for fetal loss, possibly because of placental-bed microinfarcts (Hsu et al. 2007).

Genes Involved

Biological processes for maintaining normal pregnancy requires a series of differential gene

expression. There are 30 genes showing different levels of expression between normal and RPL patients (Baek 2004). In addition, other research groups have also identified a number of genes that are expressed aberrantly in pregnancy failure. Table 4 shows mutation in several genes which are involved in immunity, angiogenesis, apoptosis pathways in association with RPL (Kilpatrick 2000; Takakuwa et al. 2003). A detailed functional analysis for these genes during normal pregnancy will help to identify pregnancies with a high risk of RPL and how to manage those pregnancies.

Table 4: Immunity- and angiogenesis-related genes involved in recurrent pregnancy loss.

<i>Immunity-related Genes</i>	
PP14 (placental protein 14)	Dalton et al. 1998; Baek et al. 2002
hCG	France et al. 1996; Zayed et al. 2001; Baek et al. 2002
MUC1	Serle et al. 1994; Hey et al. 1995; Baek et al. 2002
CA-125	Dalton et al. 1998
Annexin II	Aarli et al. 1997
Mannan binding protein	Kilpatrick 2000
LIF (leukaemia inhibitory factor)	Choudhury and Knapp 2001
Indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase	Heikkinen et al. 2003
CD95	Hoshimoto et al. 2002
PIBF (progesterone-induced blocking factor)	Laskarin et al. 2002
HLA-DRB1	Takakuwa et al. 2003
CD69	Ramhorst et al. 2003
<i>Angiogenesis-related Genes</i>	
MMP-2 (matrix metalloproteinase-2)	Jokimaa et al. 2002; Choi et al. 2003
MMP-9 (matrix metalloproteinase-9)	Choi et al. 2003
Fibronectin	Pijnenborg et al. 2000; Choi et al. 2003
Integrin	Choi et al. 2003
PAI (plasminogen activator inhibitor)	Choi et al., 2003
TGF- β (transforming fibroblast growth factor- β)	Choi et al. 2003
VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor)	Choi et al. 2003
BFGF (basic fibroblast growth factor)	Choi et al. 2003
TIMP-1 (tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases-1)	Jokimaa et al. 2002

MTHFR Gene

Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) is a rare genetic defect that leads to complications in pregnancy (Ivy et al. 2007). *MTHFR* gene produces an enzyme called methylene-

tetrahydrofolate reductase and mutation in the gene inhibits the production of this enzyme, result in hyperhomocystenemia, which is an elevated level of an enzyme homocysteine found in blood plasma. When the body is deficient in ethylenetetrahydrofolate reductase, its ability to absorb folate, such as folic acid, is inhibited. Folic acid and B9 are both essential to the development and health of the fetus. Because of a mother with MTHFR's inability to efficiently metabolize folic acid and vitamin B9, the disorder has been linked to a variety of pregnancy complications such as congenital malformations. Elevated levels of homocysteine have been associated with placental disease, preeclampsia and RPL (Foka et al. 2003).

CYP Family Genes

Among the members of the CYP family, *CYP1A1*, *Cyp1A2*, *Cyp2C*, *CYP2D6*, *Cyp2E1*, *Cyp2F1*, *Cyp3A4*, *Cyp3A5*, *Cyp3A7* and *Cyp4B1* were found to be expressed in the placenta during the first trimester (Jauniaux et al. 2000), although the functional activities of *Cyp3A* and *Cyp4B* were not detected. The importance of *CYP1A1* and *Cyp2E1* in relation to pregnancy is substantiated further by the increased risk of miscarriage with maternal smoking and alcohol consumption. Experimental evidence indicates that during pregnancy, there is increased expression of *CYP2D6* (Wadelius et al. 1997), which metabolizes about one-quarter of clinically important medications. However, the exact role played by the enhanced *CYP2D6* levels in pregnancy remains obscure. Recent studies have demonstrated that the CYP family gene polymorphisms significantly influence reproductive conditions. Wang *et al.* (2002) reported that *CYP1A1* gene polymorphism was associated with a reduction in birth weight among women who smoked cigarettes in the United States. We previously demonstrated that *CYP17* gene polymorphism was associated with risks of RPL (Sata *et al.* 2003b) and intrauterine fetal growth restriction in the Japanese population (Yamada *et al.* 2004). Recent reports indicate the presence of dioxins, which are strong inducers of *CYP1A1* expression, in human follicular fluid (Tsutsumi *et al.* 1998). This suggests the possibility of constant induction of *CYP1A1* at low levels in this tissue. The presence of a hyper-inducible allele in such an in-

stance may increase the levels of *CYP1A1* to the extent that it can cause severe damage to the fetus.

The glutathione-S-transferase (GST) families of enzymes are being important members of phase II detoxification pathways, catalyse the conjugation of a variety of electrophilic substances to glutathione, facilitating their elimination from the body. Usually, the foreign substances activated by the phase I reactions are acted upon by the GST enzymes. Moreover, GST enzymes also play an important role in regulation of reduced glutathione levels, and thereby redox reserves of the individual. Hence any decrease in the GST activity can lead to accumulation of the products of phase I activity which can cause severe damage. GST enzymes are believed to play a crucial role in female reproduction as suggested by their presence in placenta and ovarian follicles in excessive amounts (Zusterzeel *et al.* 1999). Among the various GST enzymes, GSTP1 is reported to be the predominant isoform in placenta, suggesting a possible role for this enzyme in pregnancy (Knapen *et al.* 1999 a, b).

Factor V Leiden Mutation

Factor V Leiden mutation (FVL) is an autosomal dominant hemostatic disorder that predisposes affected persons to venous thromboembolic events (Grandone *et al.* 1997). Pregnancy is a hypercoagulable state since antiphospholipid antibodies (APL), an acquired thrombophilic defect, have been established as an important and treatable cause for pregnancy loss at all gestational ages (Rai *et al.* 1997). The potential role of thrombophilic defects may play in adverse pregnancy outcome is under investigation. One such thrombophilic defect is Factor V Leiden, a common mutation (G→A at nucleotide position 1691 in the Factor V gene) which is associated with a significant increased risk for systemic venous thrombosis (Dahlback 1995). Factor V Leiden has also been reported in association with placental thrombosis (Rai *et al.* 1996). *De novo* resistance to activated protein C can develop during pregnancy among persons without the factor V Leiden mutation (Bertina *et al.* 1994; Cumming *et al.* 1996) and that these persons may have an increased risk for pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism finally leads to pregnancy loss.

Natural Killer Cells

In the past decade, considerable effort has been made to identify cellular constituents and processes putatively underlying immune-based RPL. Natural killer (NK) cells have been the cells most extensively studied, primarily because they constitute the predominant leukocyte population present in the endometrium at the time of implantation and in early pregnancy (Yamada 1994). Cytokines produced by peripheral blood NK cells in RPL can inhibit blastocyst development (Polgar 2002). Given the evidence for modulation of NK cells by the hormonal system as well as the involvement of uNK cells in normal pregnancy, NK and uNK cells have been the focus of investigation of many studies trying to understand the pathophysiology of unexplained RPL (Chrysoula 2005).

It has been suggested that cell-mediated (Th1) immunity toward trophoblast cells is suppressed in normal pregnancy, and that perhaps failure of such suppression contributes to loss of the pregnancy in cases of unexplained RPL (Souza et al 2002). In response to trophoblast antigens, peripheral blood mononuclear cell (PBMC) from women with RPL have been shown to produce *interferon*, tumor necrosis factor (TNF), and TNF- β , whereas PBMC of reproductively normal women produce interleukin (IL)-10 (Hill et al 1995). In addition, after *in vitro* stimulation of PBMC with phorbol myristate acetate and ionomycin, CD3⁺ CD8⁻ T helper cells from women with RPL have significantly higher Th1/Th2 cytokine ratios of *Interferon*-4, TNF-/IL-4, and TNF-/IL-10 compared with control multiparous women (Kwak-Kim et al. 2003). The above findings suggest that Th1 immunity to trophoblast is associated with RPL, whereas Th2 immunity is associated with a successful pregnancy (Choi et al. 2000).

Preliminary observation revealed that telomerase activity was suppressed in chorionic villi tissues obtained from RPL patients, supporting the hypothesis that aberrant expression of apoptosis related genes during development is also involved in RPL. Signalling pathways leading to apoptosis converge on a common machinery of cell destruction activated by a family of cysteine proteases that cleave proteins at aspartate residues (caspases), members of the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor (TNF R) superfamily, and members of the Bcl 2 family proteins.

Aoki and co-workers (1995) observed increased preconceptional NK cell activity, as measured by a chromium-51 release cytotoxicity assay, in 68 women with unexplained RPL compared with controls. The discrepancies observed in preconceptional NK activity between RPL patients and controls in these studies could derive from the use of fresh vs. cryopreserved PBMC, as well as differences in the methods used to assess cytotoxicity. (Shimada et al. 2004).

Recent studies reported that apoptosis-related genes during development and its aberrant expression are also a major etiology for RPL (Baek 2004). Signalling pathways leading to apoptosis which initiate the cell destruction by a family of cysteine proteases that cleave proteins at aspartate residues (caspases), members of the tumour necrosis factor (TNF) receptor (TNF-R) superfamily, and members of the BCL-2 family proteins. Higher expression levels of apoptosis-related genes such as caspase 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, BAD, BAX, BID, Fas and FasL were shown in chorionic villi from RPL patients than those from normal patients (Choi et al, 2003), as listed in Table 5.

Table 5: Apoptosis- and other groups of genes involved in recurrent pregnancy loss

<i>Apoptosis-related Genes</i>	
Caspase 3	Choi et al. 2003
Caspase 6	Choi et al. 2003
Caspase 7	Choi et al. 2003
Caspase 8	Choi et al. 2003
Caspase 9	Choi et al. 2003
Caspase 10	Choi et al. 2003
Caspase 12	Choi et al. 2003
BAD	Choi et al. 2003
BAX	Choi et al. 2003
BID	Choi et al. 2003
Fas	Choi et al. 2003
FasL	Choi et al. 2003
<i>Other Groups of Genes</i>	
Cathepsin H	Jokimaa et al. 2002
Globin	Baek et al. 2002
Unknown 1	Baek et al. 2002
Unknown 2	Baek et al. 2002

CONCLUSION

Couples with pregnancy loss produce chromosomally abnormal embryos in a significantly higher percentage than those not having this reproductive problem which are mainly due to non-dysjunction. Once an unbalanced translocation in the fetus / child has been identified, parental karyotype is essential. Molecular analy-

sis such as PCR-based subtractive hybridization showed a limited number of genes in chorionic villi from RPL patients. Since the PCR-based cDNA subtractive hybridization analysis may not represent all genes differentially expressed, it is expected that there should be more genes that are involved in the process of establishing and maintaining pregnancy. Thus, further research is necessary to confirm the clinical relevance of these genes identified for abnormal expression in RPL patients. Therefore, finding any aberrant expression of these genes may delineate general health during pregnancy and a better understanding of the physiological significance of these genes may help in controlling the management of subsequent pregnancies. Therapeutic intervention is guided by the underlying cause of RPL. In all cases, emotional support is important in caring for these often anxious couples, and may enhance therapeutic success

GENETIC EVALUATION AND TESTING RECOMMENDATIONS

Couples may have had a prior evaluation with a reproductive endocrinologist, gynecologist, maternal fetal medicine specialist, or other specialists, and testing (e.g., antiphospholipid antibodies, ultrasounds) may have been pursued previously to rule out other causes of RPL. A referral to a genetics specialist is warranted when the prior evaluations yielded normal results, and during pregnancy, medical, and family history evaluations suggest the possibility of a genetic cause for the couple's RPL, as well as to address any implications for other family members when a genetic etiology is identified. When possible, chromosomal analysis on fetal tissue from products of conception (POC) should be considered, in addition to a thorough pathological evaluation of the fetus and placenta. Routine karyotyping of each partner is standard and testing the woman for the factor V Leiden and prothrombin G20210A mutations should be considered. Testing for the less common thrombophilias (anticoagulants protein C, protein S, and antithrombin III) should be reserved for women with a personal and/or family history of venous thromboembolism. Testing for methylene tetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) mutations in a woman with RPL is not justified, according to currently available studies. The use of specialized chromosomal studies such as comparative

genome hybridization, subtelomeric studies, interphase studies on sperm and assays for skewed X-inactivation patterns are not warranted at this time, as their clinical utility is yet to be determined.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Chairman of our Department, Ms. Kavitha, Mr. Vineeth VS, Mr. Shivaprasad H.S., Mr. Mohsen Najafi and Mr. Sreenivasa G for their support and help during the course of the preparation of the manuscript and Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship for the funding.

REFERENCES

- Aoki K, Kajiura S, Matsumoto Y, Ogasawara M, Okada S et al 1995. Preconceptional natural-killer-cell activity as a predictor of miscarriage. *Lancet*, 345:1340–1342.
- Aarli A, Kristoffersen EK, Jensen TS, Ulvestad E, Matre R 1997. Suppressive effect on lymphoproliferation in vitro by soluble annexin II released from isolated placental membranes. *Am J Reprod Immunol*, 38: 313–319.
- Arredondo F, Noble LS. 2006. Endocrinology of recurrent pregnancy loss. *Semin Reprod Med*, 24(1): 33–39.
- Baek KH 2004. Aberrant gene expression associated with recurrent pregnancy loss. *Mol Hum Reprod*, 10(5): 291–297.
- Bertina RM, Koeleman BP, Koster T, Rosendaal FR, Dirven RJ et al 1994. Mutation in blood coagulation factor V associated with resistance to activated protein C. *Nature*, 369: 64–67.
- Bhasin MK, Foerster W, Fuhrmann W 1973. A cytogenetic study of recurrent abortion. *Humangenetik*, 18: 139–148.
- Bick RL 2003. Antiphospholipid thrombosis syndromes. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am*, 17: 1.
- Blumenfeld Z, Brenner B 1999. Thrombophilia-associated pregnancy wastage. *Fertil and Steril*, 72(5): 765–774.
- Byrne, Janice, Ward Kenneth 1994. Genetic Factors in Recurrent Abortion. *Clinical Obstet and Gynecol*, 37(3): 693–704.
- Choi BC, Polgar K, Xiao L, Hill JA 2000. Progesterone inhibits in-vitro embryotoxic Th1 cytokine production to trophoblast in women with recurrent pregnancy loss. *Hum Reprod*, 15(1): 46–59.
- Choudhury SR, Knapp LA 2001. Human reproductive failure I: immunological factors. *Hum Reprod Update*, 7: 113–134.
- Chrysoula Dosiou, Linda C, Giudice 2005. Natural Killer Cells in Pregnancy and Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: Endocrine and Immunologic Perspectives. *Endocr Rev*, 26(1): 44–62.
- Cortés-Hernández J, Ordi-Ros J, Paredes F, Casellas M, Castillo F et al 2002. Clinical predictors of fetal and maternal outcome in systemic lupus erythematosus: a prospective study of 103 pregnancies. *Rheumatology*, 41: 643–650.
- Dahlback B, Carlsson M, Svensson PJ 1993. Familial thrombophilia due to a previously unrecognized mechanism characterized by poor anticoagulant response to activated protein C: prediction of a cofactor to activated protein C. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 90: 1004–8.

- Dalton CF, Laird SM, Estdale SE, Saravelos HG, Li TC 1998. Endometrial protein PP14 and CA-125 in recurrent miscarriage patients; correlation with pregnancy outcome. *Hum Reprod*, 13: 3197–3202.
- Dasoula A, Kalantaridou S, Sotiriadis A, Pavlou M, Georgiou I 2008. Skewed X-chromosome inactivation in Greek women with idiopathic recurrent miscarriage. *Fetal Diagn Ther*. 23: 198–203.
- Festin Mario R., M.Limson Genara M. Maruo Takeshi 1997..Autoimmune causes of recurrent pregnancy loss. *The Kobe Journal of the Medical Sciences*, 43(5): 143–157.
- Fiorentino F, Biricik A, Nuccitelli A, De Palma R, Kahraman S et al 2006. Strategies and clinical outcome of 250 cycles of Preimplantation Genetic Diagnosis for single gene disorders. *Hum Reprod*, 21(3): 670–684.
- Foka ZJ, Lambropoulos AF, Saravelos H, Karas GB, Karavida A, et al 2000. Factor V Leiden and prothrombin G20210A mutations, but not methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T, are associated with recurrent miscarriages. *Hum Reprod*, 15(2): 458–462.
- Ford MD Holly B, Danny J Schust 2009. Recurrent Pregnancy Loss: Etiology, Diagnosis, and Therapy. *Rev Obstet Gynecol Spring*, 2(2): 76–83.
- France JT, Keelan J, Song L, Liddel H, Zanderigo A et al 1996. Serum concentrations of human chorionic gonadotrophin and immunoreactive inhibin in early pregnancy and recurrent miscarriage: a longitudinal study. *Aust NZ J Obstet Gynecol*, 36: 325–330.
- Gracia C, Sammel M, Chittams J, Hummel A, Shaunik A et al 2005. Risk factors for spontaneous abortion in early symptomatic first-trimester pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol*, 106: 759–766.
- Grandone E, Margaglione M, Colaizzo D, d'Addetta M, Cappucci G et al 1997. Factor V Leiden is associated with repeated and recurrent unexplained fetal losses. *Thromb Haemost*, 77: 822–824.
- Heikkinen J, Mottonen M, Komi J, Alanen A, Lassila O 2003 . Phenotypic characterization of human decidual macrophages. *Clin Exp Immunol*, 131: 498–505.
- Hey NA, Li TC, Devine PL, Graham RA, Saravelos H and Aplin JD 1995. MUC1 in secretory phase endometrium: expression in precisely dated biopsies and flushings from normal and recurrent miscarriage patients. *Hum Reprod*, 10: 2655–2662.
- Hill JA, Polgar K, Anderson DJ 1995.T-helper 1-type immunity to trophoblast in women with recurrent spontaneous abortions. *JAMA*, 273: 1933–1936.
- Hogge WA, Byrnes AL, Lanasa MC, Surti U 2003. The clinical use of karyotyping spontaneous abortions. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 189: 397–400.
- Hoshimoto K, Komine F, Hayashi M, Ohkura T 2002. Plasma soluble Fas changes during early pregnancy and miscarriage. *Clin Chim Acta*, 323: 157–160.
- Hsu LL, Champion HC, Campbell-Lee SA, Bivalacqua TJ, Mancini EA et al AD 2007. Hemolysis in sickle cell mice causes pulmonary hypertension due to global impairment in nitric oxide bioavailability. *Blood*, 109(7): 3088–3098.
- Ilham M, Hamdi, Karri S, Kamakshi, Ghani EA 2002. Pregnancy outcome in women with sickle cell trait. *Saudi Medical Journal*, 23(12): 1455–1457.
- Ivy A, Alan A, Louis MA 2007.The 5, 10 methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T mutation and risk of fetal loss: a case series and review of the literature. *Thromb J*, 5: 17.
- Jauniaux E, Watson AL, Hempstock J, Yi-Ping B, Skepper JN. 2000. Onset of maternal arterial blood flow and placental oxidative stress; a possible factor in human early pregnancy failure. *Am J Pathol*, 157: 2111–2122.
- Jokimaa V, Oksjoki S, Kujari H, Vuorio E, Anttila L 2002. Altered expression of genes involved in the production and degradation of endometrial extracellular matrix in patients with unexplained infertility and recurrent miscarriages. *Mol Hum Reprod*, 8: 1111–1116.
- Kilpatrick DC 2000. Mannan-binding lectin concentration during normal human pregnancy. *Hum Reprod*, 15: 941–943.
- Knapen MF, Zusterzeel PL, Peters WH, Steegers EA 1999a. Glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes in reproduction. A review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*, 82: 171–184.
- Knapen MF, Peters WH, Mulder TP, Merkus HM, Jansen JB et al 1999b. Glutathione and glutathione related enzymes in decidua and placenta of controls and women with preeclampsia. *Placenta*, 20: 541–546.
- Kutteh WH 1999 Recurrent pregnancy loss: an update. *Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol*, 11: 435–439.
- Kwak-Kim JY, Chung-Bang HS, Ng SC, Ntrivalas EI, Mangubat CP, et al 2003. Increased T helper 1 cytokine responses by circulating T cells are present in women with recurrent pregnancy losses and in infertile women with multiple implantation failures after IVF. *Hum Reprod*, 18: 767–773.
- Laskarin G, Tokmadzic VS, Strbo N, Bogovic T, Szekeres-Bartho J 2002. Progesterone induced blocking factor (PIBF) mediates progesterone induced suppression of decidual lymphocyte cytotoxicity. *Am J Reprod Immunol*, 48: 201–209.
- Lebedev N, Nazarenko SA 2001. Tissue-Specific Placental Mosaicism for Autosomal Trisomies in Human Spontaneous Abortuses. *Russian J of Genet*, 37(11): 1224–1237.
- Lee RM, Silver RM 2000 Recurrent pregnancy loss: Summary and clinical recommendations. *Semin Reprod Med*, 18: 433–440.
- Macklon NS, Geraedts JPM, Fauser BCJM 2002 Conception to ongoing pregnancy: the “black box” of early pregnancy loss. *Hum Reprod Update*, 8: 333–343.
- Miller OJ, Therman E 2001. *Human Chromosomes*. 4th Edition, New York: Springer
- Midro AT, Stengel-Rutkowski S, Stene J 1992. Experiences with risk estimates for carriers of chromosomal reciprocal translocations. *Clin Genet*, 41: 113–122.
- Mokate T, Leask K, Mehta S, Sharif S, Smith A 2006. Non-mosaic trisomy 22: A report of 2 cases. *Prenat Diagn*, 26(10): 962–965.
- Pauer HU, Burfeind P, Köstering H, Emons G, Hinney B 2003. Factor XII deficiency is strongly associated with primary recurrent abortions. *Fertility and Sterility*, 80(3): 590–594.
- Pijnenborg R, Luyten C, Mercruysse L, Keith JC Jr, Van Assche FA 2000. Cytotoxic effects of tumour necrosis factor (TNF)- α and interferon- γ on cultured human trophoblast are modulated by fibronectin. *Mol Hum Reprod*, 6: 635–641.
- Polgar K, Hill JA 2002. Identification of the white blood cell populations responsible for Th1 immunity to trophoblast and the timing of the response in women with recurrent pregnancy loss. *Gynecol Obstet Invest*, 53: 59–64.
- Porter F, Scott JR 2000. Alloimmune Causes of Recurrent Pregnancy Loss. *Semin Reprod Med*, 18(4): 393–400.

- Puscheck, Elizabeth E, Jeyendran, Rajasingam S 2007. The impact of male factor on recurrent pregnancy loss. *Obstet and Gynecol*, 19(3): 222-228.
- Ramhorst R, Gracia V, Agriell E, Corigliano A, Etchepareborda E 2003. Intracellular expression of CD69 in endometrial and peripheral T cells represents a useful marker in women with recurrent miscarriage: modulation after allogeneic leukocyte immunotherapy. *Am J Reprod Immunol*, 49: 149-158.
- Robertson L, Wu O, Langhorne P, et al 2006. Thrombophilia in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Br J Haematol*. 132: 171-196.
- Saravelos SH, Cocksedge KA, Li TC .2010. The pattern of pregnancy loss in women with congenital uterine anomalies and recurrent miscarriage. *Reprod Biomed Online*, 20: 416-422.
- Serle E, Aplin JD, Li TC, Warren MA Graham RA et al 1994. Endometrial differentiation in the peri-implantation phase of women with recurrent miscarriage: A morphological and immunohistochemical study. *Fertil Steril*, 62: 989-996.
- Shimada S, Kato EH, Morikawa M, Iwabuchi K, Nishida R, et al 2004. No difference in natural killer or natural killer T-cell population, but aberrant T-helper cell population in the endometrium of women with repeated miscarriage. *Hum Reprod*, 19: 1018-1024.
- Sierra S, Stephenson M 2006. Genetics of recurrent pregnancy loss. *Semin Reprod Med*, 24(1): 17-24.
- Souka P, Krampel E, Bakalis S, Heath E, Nicolaides KH 2001. Outcome of pregnancy in chromosomally normal fetuses with increased nuchal translucency in the first trimester. *Epub. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol*, 18: 9-17.
- Souza SS, Ferriani RA, Santos CM, Voltarelli JC 2002. Immunological evaluation of patients with recurrent abortion. *J Reprod Immunol*, 56: 111-121.
- Stephenson MD, Awartani KA, Robinson WP 2002. Cytogenetic analysis of miscarriages from couples with recurrent miscarriage: a case-control study. *Hum Reprod*, 17: 446-451.
- Stray-Pedersen B, Stray-Pedersen S 1984 Etiologic factors and subsequent reproductive performance in 195 couples with a prior history of habitual abortion. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 148: 140-146
- Sugiura-Ogasawara M, Ozaki Y, Sato T, Suzumori N, Suzumori K 2004. Poor prognosis of recurrent aborters with either maternal or paternal reciprocal translocations. *Fertil Steril*, 81: 367-373.
- Sullivan AE, Silver RM, LaCoursiere DY, Porter TF, Branch DW 2004. Recurrent fetal aneuploidy and recurrent miscarriage. *Obstet Gynecol*, 104: 784-88.
- Takakuwa K, Adachi H, Hataya I, Ishii K, Tamura M K et al 2003. Molecular genetic studies of HLA-DRB1 alleles in patients with unexplained recurrent abortion in the Japanese population. *Hum Reprod*, 18: 728-733.
- Tsutsumi O, Uechi H, Sone H et al. 1998. Presence of dioxins in human follicular fluid: Their possible stage-specific action on the development of preimplantation mouse embryos. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*, 250: 498-501.
- Uematsu A, Yorifuji T, Muroi J, Kawai M, Mamada M, et al 2002. Parental origin of normal X chromosomes in Turner syndrome patients with various karyotypes: Implications for the mechanism leading to generation of a 45, X karyotype. *Am J Med Genet*, 111: 134-139.
- Vajira HW, Maheshi AM, Athapaththu H, Jeewani D, Opanayake S et al 2009. Chromosomal abnormalities in patients with recurrent spontaneous pregnancy loss and sub-fertility. *J of Obstet and Gynaecol*, 31: 84-87.
- Vibeke Rasch 2003. Cigarette, alcohol, and caffeine consumption: risk factors for spontaneous abortion. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 82(2): 182-188.
- Wadelius M, Darj E, Frenne G, Rane A 1997. Induction of CYP2D6 in pregnancy. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 62: 400-407.
- Wang ZC, Yunis EJ, De los Santos MJ, Xiao L, Anderson DJ and Hill JA (2002) T helper 1-type immunity to trophoblast antigens in women with a history of recurrent pregnancy loss is associated with polymorphism of the IL1B promoter region. *Genes Immun*, 3: 38-42.
- Yamada H, Polgar K, Hill JA 1994. Cell-mediated immunity to trophoblast antigens in women with recurrent spontaneous abortion. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*, 170: 1339-1344.
- Yudaeva LS 2009. P17 Pregnancy in patients with hereditary connective tissue disorders (Marfan syndrome, Ehlers-danlos syndrome, hereditary hemorrhagic telangiectasia) increases the risk both of bleeding and thrombosis . *Thrombo Research*, 123(2): S144.
- Zaragoza MV, Surti U, Redline RW, Millie E, Chakravarti A et al 2000. Parental origin and phenotype of triploidy in spontaneous abortions: predominance of diandry and association with the partial hydatidiform mole. *Am J Hum Genet*, 66: 1807-1820.
- Zayed F, Ghazawi I, Francis L, Alchalabi H 2001. Predictive value of human chorionic gonadotrophin hCG in early pregnancy after assisted conception. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*, 265: 7-10.
- Zdziarska, Joanna, Undas, Anetta, Basa et al 2009. Severe bleeding and miscarriages in a hypofibrinogenemic woman heterozygous for the [gamma] Ala82Gly mutation. *Blood Coagulation & Fibrinolysis*, 20(5): 374-376.
- Zusterzeel P, Morsche R te, Raijmakers M, Roes E, Peters W et al 1999. Glutathione S-transferase isoenzymes in decidua and placenta of preeclamptic pregnancies. *Obstet Gynecol*, 94: 1033-1038.