

*International Journal of Education Sciences (IJES)*

Received on 20. 11. 2013/31. 01. 2014

REF. NO. IJES-403

*(Always refer our Reference No. for all correspondence)*

**Strategic Planning in Rural Schools in the Motheo District**

**Gawie Schlebusch and Masese Mokhatle**

**Central University of Technology, Free State, Mothusi Road, Box 1881, Welkom, South Africa**

## **Strategic Planning in Rural Schools in the Motheo District**

**Gawie Schlebusch and Masese Mokhatle**

***Central University of Technology, Free State, Mothusi Road, Box 1881, Welkom, South Africa***

*Address for Correspondence:*

Prof. Gawie Schlebusch

Telephone: +27 57 9103572 (Office)

Fax: 0866192586 (fax)

E-mail: [gschlebu@cut.ac.za](mailto:gschlebu@cut.ac.za)

**ABBREVIATED TITLE: STRATEGIC PLANNING IN RURAL SCHOOLS**

**KEYWORDS** Strategic planning school management School Governing Body

**ABSTRACT** Strategic planning basically includes a group of instruments, operations and concepts structured to help managers, leaders and planners to think and act strategically. The planning process comprises a definition of the goals, a valuation of the resources available for meeting the goals, and the definition of definite plans or initiatives that are intended to achieve the goals. The process usually includes a classification exercise that identifies the highest priority initiatives. The study indicates how strategic management fits into the management process. Strategic planning ensures that the school is associated with the changing internal and external environment. This planning is formulated by top management and focuses on the entire organisation. A qualitative design was followed in which the opinions of principals were sought through the use of semi-structured interviews.

The findings of the study indicate that strategic planning does not occur in many schools in the Motheo district. If a form of strategic planning does occur, SGB chairpersons are often excluded in the drafting and implementation of these plans. Collaboration between School Management

Teams and School Governing Bodies is needed to ensure the successful drafting and implementation of strategic plans.

## **INTRODUCTION**

From the viewpoint of theory and practice, strategy is directly related to the management of the business or school. Strategic management is a comparatively recent discipline without a unified theory supporting its growing practice. Indeed, strategic management is as difficult to define as strategy is. According to French (2009), strategy management was first proposed in early 80s with the specific purpose of defining a new paradigm for business policy. Strategic planning has also found its way into schools.

The South Africa School Act (SASA) (Act No 84 of 1996) prioritises the role of the principal as an academic leader. In accordance with the SASA, the role of school principals is to be part of the process of strategic management and strategic thinking, which are derived from the process of strategic planning. The purpose of strategic planning for the school is to ensure that the school can face the challenges of a changing environment (both internal and external). Education in general and schools in particular have been and will continue to be affected by continual changes. The opportunities and the challenges of an environment characterised by increasing competition require that schools successfully position themselves to build a sustainable, competitive advantage. Through strategic planning, schools must establish high aspirations for themselves and focus intensely on the execution of such plans. The strategic planning process offers a setting where all issues affecting the school can be thoughtfully addressed.

Ahoy (2008) states that in many instances an organisation completes the strategic planning process, resulting in the design of a strategic plan. Everybody involved has the best intentions, but when they are back at their sections, offices and classrooms, they are often overwhelmed again with the details of their daily routine. According to Dimitriou and Thompson (2007), a strategic plan should be fully discussed and made available to all concerned before it is implemented. No strategic plan is completed just because it gets written. The hard work of implementation comes next. Following are some important aspects to keep in mind when implementing the plan:

- Interpret each initiative in your strategic plan by affirming five critical points: who, what, why, when, and resources needed. Irwin (2011) asserts that this explained version of the strategic plan now becomes the implementation plan. When drafting it distinct tasks must be assigned to specific individuals or groups within your school, who must develop a good rationale for each initiative, set deadlines, and decide whether funding is needed.
- Tie the strategic plan to the budgeting process of the school. Kennedy (2008) states that the resources and funds required to achieve all of the action steps in the strategic plan in order to implement the strategy need to be estimated. The resources normally include the people, facilities and equipment needed.
- The objectives of the strategic plan need to be prioritised and a realistic timetable must be set. Normally, strategic plans are drafted to cover a period of three to five years. Haines (2007) states that if this is the case with a school, it is not advisable to try and accomplish everything in the first year. The plan should be to address some of the initiatives in each of the years that it will be in effect, in order to elude being burdened or overwhelmed by all aspects of the plan. Being too determined to accomplish the plan right away may cause tension and frustration.
- The strategic plan must be communicated to all relevant parties (teachers, learners, parents) and these parties must be motivated to assist with its implementation. The plan must be shared within and outside of the school. The best is to make use of several formats, modified to the audience (the Web, memoranda to parents and detailed action plans).
- Haines (2007) states that it is important to recognise accomplishments as this can create new levels of energy to do more. Do not wait until all actions in the plan are completed to recognise and celebrate. Recognise past actions, and identify milestones during the implementation of such an initiative.

**Factors influencing the implementation of strategic planning at school:** Wittmann and Reuter (2008) indicate that there is overwhelming support for the implementation of strategic planning in schools as it generates a new focus on the quality of education. Implementation of strategic planning at schools can however be influenced by certain factors. The following factors may influence the implementation of strategic plans at a school:

**The vision, mission, goals and objectives of the school:** Depending on the vision and mission of the school as put forward in the strategic planning, specific goals and objectives may be formulated to improve the effectiveness of the school. These goals and objectives will have an influence on the ability of the school to successfully implement the strategic plan (Le Roux, 2002). For instance, if it is the objective of the school to grow by enrolling more learners, then strategic plans must be in place to ensure that provision is made for appointing suitably qualified additional educators to manage this growth.

**Leadership of managers:** The leadership style of the principal and other managers at the school (School Management Team) may have an influence on the success of the implementation of the strategic plan. The manner in which managers motivate, guide and handle staff members involved in the implementation of strategic planning may contribute to the success or failure of such an implementation.

**School culture and climate:** The unique culture and climate at the specific school may also impact on the success of implementing the strategic plan. A certain school culture (the way things are done at the school) and the related school climate (the general atmosphere prevalent at the school) may encourage or discourage stakeholders in the implementation of a strategic plan (Department of Education, 2007).

**Socio-economic circumstances:** According to Le Roux (2002), public organisations, such as schools, are dependent on government in terms of funding. Funding (be it through subsidy, school fees or fundraising) for schools has a direct bearing on successful implementation of strategic plans of schools.

**New and amended policies and legislation:** Policy changes and new or amended legislation on the national and provincial levels may have an effect on strategic plan implementation (Elmore 2004). Many policy changes in terms of curriculum, as well as decentralisation of management in schools may have an influence on how the implementation of strategic plans is influenced. A strategic plan in the process of implementation may therefore have to change to be in line with certain policy changes.

According to Tracy (2012), the essences to the successful implementation of a strategic plan are leadership, commitment, ownership, and accountability; belief in the plan; communication; monitoring progress; praise and recognition; and patience. To avoid failure information should be gathered from all stakeholders, roles and responsibilities should be clearly defined, expectations must be communicated, interest must be maintained in the process and the progress and the process should continually be monitored.

Various role players within the school environment are involved with planning and implementing the strategic plan of a school. These role players are the School Governing Body (SGB), the principal and School Management Team (SMT), the staff (teachers), parents and learner, and lastly the regional department of education.

**Statement of the problem:** An important task of the contemporary school is to stay ahead of change. Education in South Africa has and is still undergoing many changes with regard to curricula, decentralised financial management and administrative aspects. The managerial tasks of principals have also changed significantly. Universally, schools are increasingly being managed like businesses. For this reason aspects such as marketing, cost-effectiveness, and the needs of the client should receive attention. Strategic planning is a means for establishing and maintaining a sense of direction when the future has become more and more difficult to predict. A major problem that exists is that without having learnt the skills of strategic management and operational planning, principals are liable to find themselves involved in crisis-management.

**Research questions:** The above problem statement leads to the following research questions:

- What is the essence of strategic planning within the management process?
- Which factors influence the drafting and implementation of strategic planning in Motheo schools?

## **MATERIALS AND METHOD**

A qualitative approach is followed where data is obtained from principals and SGB chairpersons through semi-structured interviews. Snape and Spencer (2003) explain that qualitative research involves an interpretative, naturalistic approach to the world. This means that qualitative

researchers study things in their natural settings, attempting to make sense or to interpret phenomena in terms of the meanings people bring to them. This study employs the qualitative design to determine the opinions of participants in their natural setting.

The population in this study consists of all principals of schools in the Motheo District of the Free State province in South Africa. A sample is a subset of the population or a small group that is observed (Ary *et al* 2009). This study employs the purposive sampling method. In purposive sampling the researcher specifies the characteristics of a population of interest and then tries to locate individuals who have those characteristics. The sample for this study consisted of 10 school principals of these schools.

Babbie and Mouton (2001) state that qualitative data analysis is referred to as all forms of data that was gathered using qualitative techniques. Qualitative data analysis is primarily an inductive process of organizing the data into categories and identifying patterns (relationship) among the categories. In this study the data was organised into categories and reported in the narrative (as is characteristic of qualitative reporting of data).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of the analysed data are provided by sequence of interview questions. Verbatim responses of principals are provided.

### **Question 1: Does your school have a strategic plan in place? Please elaborate.**

Most of the participants responded that they have a strategic plan in place. Some of the principals elaborated that they do not always get to implement the plans made as some factors hamper them to do that. One of these principals stated that it is the task of the SGB to ensure that strategic planning is done. They agreed on the issue that planning is one of the most important tasks of the principal as it forms the basis of all other management tasks. The following are types of responses received from the participants.

*“Strategic plans are in place. It is important to have such plans as it gives direction to what must happen at the school.”*

*“Strategic plans cannot always be implemented as other important day-to-day issues takes up our time and that of the teachers.”*

*“The SGB must do strategic planning for the school. We as principals should assist with that.”*

From the responses one could state that most schools do have a strategic plan in place. Principals also realise the importance of such plans to provide their schools with direction. It also seems as if not all principals ensure that strategic plans are implemented. Other work-related operational tasks take preference and the strategic plans are shift to the side. The principal that indicated that strategic planning is the task of the SGB may not realise that he/she forms part of the SGB and has a responsibility to ensure that such planning is done in conjunction with the SGB.

**Question 2: Do you involve stakeholders in the strategic planning and if so, which stakeholders are involved during the drafting and formulation of the strategic plan?**

Most of the participants stated that they involve other stakeholders, such as the full SGB and the School Management Team (SMT) during the drafting and formulation of the strategic plan. Some of these principals mentioned that some members of the SGB do not turn up for these sessions due to other commitments. The SMT members are teachers of the school and are involved.

A few of the principals mentioned that they do not involve all members of the SGB as these members resist the changes that come along with strategic planning. In some cases these principals also do not involve the full SMT as they feel that too many people involved prolong the process (too many ideas thrown around). These principals have therefore decided only to involve those who are interested. Reasons provided by these principals are:

*“SGB parents do not avail themselves for these sessions and in many cases do not even send an apology.”*

*“Some SMT members complain about all the administrative work they are doing and do not want to be involved in more work.”*

*“Support staff are also not included as they very seldom provide any inputs.”*

Principals do not always involve other stakeholders during the drafting and formulation of the strategic plan. Directing organisational activities towards the overall attainment of strategic objectives needs some form of institutional planning. At the onset of this process working groups

need to be engaged with current and potential stakeholders, such as the SGB, SMT, other staff members and representatives of the wider community in order to identify or anticipate external expectations and match these to the internal expectations of the school.

**Question 3: How often do you discuss and draft changes or new aspects of your strategic plan?**

Most of the participants mentioned that although strategic plans are seen to be at the heart of the management process, they do not have a specific cycle in which strategic plans are drafted or amended. Strategic issues that may arise are discussed and a plan is drafted to implement such a decision. One of these principals said that if strategic planning cycles (say every three years) sessions are not minuted and executed, it normally is not done in a formal way. He mentioned that the planning of such sessions must be driven by either the principal or the SGB chairperson. A few of these principals mentioned that they do most of the planning on their own and merely inform the SGB and staff. The main reason provided is that their SGBs do not have the capacity to engage in strategic planning.

A small number of participants stated that they have not drafted or updated strategic planning at their schools for a number of years. They mention that they do not get any support from their SGB in this regard and that they do not have the time to do it on their own.

*“We do not have a specific cycle in years that we follow. When something very important must be done, then we plan for it and try to do it.”*

*“If we do not plan to have strategic sessions and minute such decisions, then no formal strategic planning is done, we just make decisions as they arise.”*

*“I do strategic planning on my own, because my SGB cannot help me. They do not know how to do strategic planning.”*

*“We have not done strategic planning for long. My SGB says that anyone can come up with plans and must inform me, but no-one brings plans. I cannot do all of that on my own.”*

The responses indicate that schools do not have specific cycles in which they do strategic planning. No formal time frames are set in which strategic planning sessions are to be done. It seems as if strategic planning is done on an ad hoc basis. In some instances no strategic planning

is done due to lack of knowledge capacity from the side of the SGB and time constraints from the side of the principals.

**Question 4: Which factors influence the drafting and the implementation of strategic planning at your school?**

Many factors were mentioned by participants. These factors include:

- Poor consultation between the principal and the SGB. Strategic planning is not seen as important by many SGBs. They consider normal planning for the year (operational planning) as the only planning that is needed for the school.
- Lack of monitoring /evaluating. Where strategic plans are in place, they are not really monitored or evaluated in terms of progress made. The effect of this lack of monitoring is that such plans are in the end not implemented.
- Policy overflow. New and amended policies in terms of curricula take up a lot of the time of the principal and teachers. They are so involved in these that strategic planning is not seen as a consideration. The principal has other important aspects to attend to such as finances, teacher:learner ratios, lack of commitment from teachers that strategic planning sessions are not at the forefront of his day-to-day management.
- Lack of skills among the SGB. Members of the SGB lack skills in the drafting and implementation of strategic plans. Many of these members are not highly schooled and feel that they have no input to make in this regard.
- Lack of resources for proper implementation. Whenever plans are made, the funding of projects and plans is always an important factor. In many cases, the school just does not have the funding to execute plans. Funding from the department is not always received in good time and this has an influence on payments that need to be made to implement possible plans.
- Lack of strategic planning skills: In some instances only the principal has the skill to engage with strategic planning (and this is also not always the case). If stakeholders do not have the ability to engage in strategic planning, the effect on the success of the school is jeopardised.

- The 'buying in' of all stakeholders. The drafting and implementation of a strategic plan should be a team effort. If the SMT, or teachers or parents do not assist and support such planning, then no success will be forthcoming.
- Support from the department. This aspect has been discussed in a prior question.
- A general unwillingness of the staff from the school to be part of the process, both at the drafting and implementation stages. Many staff members see strategic plans as an extra burden over and above their normal workload.
- A fear of failure. Stakeholders seem to rather not be involved because then they have to achieve something planned and measurable. They fear that they will not achieve what was planned and will seem like a failure.
- A general resistance to change. Many strategic plans put forward new ideas and plans. Many principals and teachers are so entrenched in their normal day to day activities that they do not want to engage in new endeavours. They want to stay with what is known to them and therefore do not support strategic planning.
- Economic factors. Schools struggle with funding and therefore stakeholders feel that they will not be able to implement plans that require funding. Where schools are dependent on the department to provide them with resources, problems arise with receiving resources very late or not at all. Parents are mostly poor and cannot contribute to the school finances. This hampers the drafting of strategic ideas linked to funding.
- Lack of learning materials. Any strategic plan that includes the use of learning materials to improve the academic aspects in the school is not supported. Principals and teachers have a constant battle to ensure that teaching and learning materials are provided to the school. Principals mentioned a lack of trust that crept in with regards to proper delivery of teaching and learning material by the department.

The above-mentioned factors cover both internal and external aspects that influence strategic planning. A number of factors were mentioned that can be internally controlled and changed. The perceptions of internal staff can be changed positively if small successes with implementation of aspects of a plan can occur. Some external factors may not be so easy to control by principals. Departmental influences and the economic situation prevailing at the school are out of their hands.

### **Question 5: How do you determine whether the implementation of aspects of the strategic plan is successful?**

Most of the participants mentioned that they make use of reports and feedback from meetings, workshops and activities performed. They expect people who are in charge of these activities to provide such reports to monitor whether these were implemented successfully. Some of these principals stated that they should also report to the SGB quarterly on aspects of the strategic plan.

Some participants stated that different committees should report their activities to them verbally or in writing, but that not all of them do that. In most of these cases they report problem areas and give up trying after a while. Parents committees are not really functional and the same parents are always involved. Such parents also become despondent and lose initiative.

*“I expect my people to get back to me. If I do not hear from them for a while I will call them into my office to get feedback. Then I can again provide feedback to the SGB.”*

*“The different committees must bring feedback, but they do not always do. It becomes difficult for me to follow up on everything.”*

*“The parents are not always willing to work for the school anymore. They get too busy with their own lives.”*

The responses indicate that some form of monitoring exists. It seems as if the general trend is that when problems are encountered that are difficult to solve, the implementation of strategic plans are negated. The abandonment of sections of the strategic plan may become easier to do if motivation and support are not provided, or when stakeholders see that nobody really cares whether they continue with the implementation or not.

### **CONCLUSION**

Schools cannot function properly if strategic planning is neglected. This is more so in the case where schools are poorly resourced and parents do not have the means to financially support the school. Attainable strategic targets should be set, with the result that success will drive success.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Both the principal and the SGB chairperson have a role to play in initiating strategic planning. They need to engage with the SGB and SMT to conceptualise strategic planning as a starting point for further discussion in this regard. Principals need to involve other stakeholders with the drafting of a strategic plan at the school. At the onset of this process working groups need to be engaged with current and potential stakeholders, such as the SGB, SMT, other staff members and representatives of the wider community in order to identify or anticipate external expectations and match these to the internal expectations of the school. Strategic plans need to be formally drafted and should be planned in cycles. A three year or five year cycle should be used with monitoring and amendments to be made on a yearly basis. Principals and SMT members need to be formally trained by the Department of Education to draft and implement strategic plans. This training can be extended to include SGB members.

## REFERENCES

Ahoy, C. 2008. *Customer-Driven Operations Management*. New York: McGraw Hill Professional

Ary, D, Jacobs, C.C, Razavieh, A, Sorensen, C & Walker, D. 2009. *Introduction to research in education*. Wadsworth: Cengage Learning.

Babbie, E & Mouton, J. 2001. *The practice of social research*. South African Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Department of Education. 2007. *Strategic Plan*. Retrieved from: [www.nuffic.nl/international./south-africa/strategic-plan-2007-2011.pdf](http://www.nuffic.nl/international./south-africa/strategic-plan-2007-2011.pdf). Accessed on: 23 October 2012.

Dimitriou, H.T & Thompson, R.E. 2007. *Strategic Planning for Regional Development in the UK: A review of principles and practices*. London: Routledge.

Elmore, R.F. 2004. *School Reform from the Inside Out: Policy, Practice and Performance*. Cambridge: Harvard Education Press.

French S, 2009. Critiquing the language of Strategic management. *Journal of Management Development*, 28(1): 6-17.

Haines, S.G. 2007. *Strategic Planning simplified: the system thinking approach to building high performance teams and organisations*. New York: Wiley & Sons.

Irwin, T. 2011. *Implementing a strategic plan successfully*. United Kingdom: TCii Strategic and Management Consultants.

Kennedy, R. 2008. *School Strategic Planning - How to ensure success*. Private School Review. <http://www.privateschoolreview.com/articles/82>. Accessed: 25 November 2012.

Le Roux, A.S. 2002. *Human Resource management in education*. Pretoria: Ithuthuko Investments (Publishing).

Snape, D. & Spencer, L. 2003. The foundations of qualitative research. In: Ritchie, J., & Lewis, J. *Qualitative research practice: A guide for social science students and researchers*. London: Sage Publications.

Tracy, D.A. 2012. *School improvement: Revitalize your school with strategic planning*. Bloomington: Xlibris Corporation.

Wittmann, R & Reuter, M.P. 2008. *Strategic Planning: How to Deliver Maximum Value Through Effective Business Strategy*. Kogan Page Publishers.