Impact of Iron Status of Pregnant Women on the Anthropometry of Newborns in Industrial and Non-Industrial Areas
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ABSTRACT Sixty pregnant women [30 each in group I (industrial area) and II (non-industrial area)] in the age group of 20-28 years belonging to low income group (having monthly per capita income of Rs.969.83 and Rs. 631.87) of Bathinda city were selected during 7th month of pregnancy to study the impact of iron status of pregnant women on the anthropometry of their newborns. The mean daily intake of energy, protein, carbohydrates, vit-B₁₂, niacin, iron, folic acid, sodium and zinc was inadequate. Intake of β-carotene, vit-B₁ and B₂ was marginally adequate. The higher incidence of anemia was observed (93%) in group I as compared to group II (83%). Inadequate iron intake was the cause of poor iron status in both the groups but environmental pollution in group I was major and additional factor contributed to low hemoglobin levels among the subjects. The other haemopoetic indices eg. PCV, MCV, MCH and MCHC were also lower as compared to the subjects in group II. Incidence of low birth weight was higher in group I (100%) as compared to group (76.67%). Other anthropometric measurements were also lower in the newborns of group I when compared to group II. Thus, besides the remedial techniques, environmental education and awareness programme should be implemented for the masses because “unless the environment is healthy, the individual cannot be healthy”.

INTRODUCTION

Pregnancy is one of the most critical and unique period of women’s life cycle. Though, it is the most exciting period of expectations and fulfillments, but it is a condition of great stress because many anabolic activities take place and foetal growth is accomplished by extensive changes in maternal body composition and metabolism. Maternal nutrition is the most important determinant influence during the development of foetus. Poor nutrional status during pregnancy is associated with inadequate weight gain, anaemia, retarded foetal growth, low birth weight, still births, preterm delivery, IUGR (intrauterine growth retardation), morbidity and mortality rates (Kansel et al. 2003). Thus, it may threaten the health and life of the mother and the newborn.

Anaemia is one of the most prevalent public health problem in India being termed as “silent epidemic” by Indian Medical Association. Prevalence of iron deficiency anaemia exceeds 80 per cent among pregnant women (Gupta and Gupta 2006). It is directly or indirectly responsible for 20 per cent maternal deaths and a significant contributor to foetal wastage, premature births and low birth weight (Mehta and Dood 2004). Anemia induces irreversible changes in placental morphology, morphometry and histology. Anemic women have poor resistance to infections and are likely to suffer from complications.

Environmental pollution is a growing problem of mankind because it affects the health and nutritional status of an individual. Pollution is common especially in industrial cities where despite the legal restrictions, factories and sewage works dump dangerous substances like fertilizers, chemicals, heavy metals and other industrial wastes in the rivers and air. Thus water, food chain and air are adversely affected by pollution which result into many diseases like respiratory diseases, infectious diseases like typhoid, diarrhea, dysentery, cancer, immuno-toxicity, reproduction defects etc. (Eastwood 2002). In the rapid industrialization, countries such as China and India, iron deficiency can magnify the already devastating effects of pollution. Iron deficiency creates a susceptibility to toxic substances viz. heavy metals, pesticides, chemicals and radioactive substances that can in-turn work to undermine the immune system and general functioning of the body including the symptoms such as fatigue, learning disabilities, stunted growth, physical disability and reduced resistance to disease. Maternal exposure to air pollution adversely impacts birth outcomes such as low birth weight, preterm delivery, IUGR (intrauterine growth retardation) and post neonatal infant mortality etc. (Bell et al. 2007). Considering
the effect of pollution, the present study was undertaken to explore the impact of iron status of pregnant women on the anthropometry of their newborns.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection of the Subjects: A statistically adequate sample of sixty pregnant women aged 20-28 years belonging to low income group were selected and divided equally into two groups viz. group I (Industrial) and group II (Non-industrial area). Group II comprised of 15 subjects each from Guru Nanak Dev Thermal Plant and National Fertilizers Limited, Bathinda city and subjects of group II were selected from Village Bandi (Bathinda district), 30 km away from group I, being non-polluted from industrial pollution.

Collection and Analysis of Data: Background information pertaining to age, education, total and per capita income, life style, economic status, living conditions and obstetric history etc. were elicited through the interview schedule. Nutrient intake was calculated by “MSU nutriguide” (Song et al. 1992). Blood samples were analysed for Haemoglobin (Hb), Packed Cell Volume (PCV) and Red Blood Cell (RBC) Count. Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV), Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (MCH) and Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (MCHC) were calculated during 7th and 9th months of pregnancy.

Anthropometric Measurements: Anthropometric measurements of newborns viz. birth weight, crown heel length, head circumference, chest circumference, mid-upper arm circumference were taken within 24 hours of their birth by the standard methods described by Jelliffe (1966). Rohrer’s Ponderal Index was also calculated by following formula:

\[ \text{Ponderal index} = \frac{\text{Birth weight (g)}}{[\text{Crown heel length}]^3} \times 100 \]

Statistical Analysis: The data was analyzed with the help of various statistical tools such as mean, standard error and percentage. Student’s t-test was applied. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was worked out.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As per the demographic information, the mean age of the subjects was 23.5±2.1 and 24.1±2.0 in group I and group II, respectively. Majority (46.66 and 26.67 per cent) were educated up to middle in both the groups and the remaining 36.67 and 33.33 per cent were literate in both the groups, respectively. The level of education was recorded to be higher in group I (83.33 per cent) as compared to Group II (60 per cent). Statistical data reported that only 57 and 63.55 per cent females were literate in India and Punjab (Anonymous 2007). The information regarding the occupation and economic status of the subjects depicted that most of the subjects in both the groups were housewives (100 and 93.33 per cent) and remaining 6.67 per cent were maid servants in group II. Further, it was observed that majority of the husbands of the subjects were agricultural labourers and shopkeepers in Group II whereas all the husbands of the subjects in Group I were workers in fertilizer factory and thermal plant. The average monthly per capita income was Rs. 969.83 and 631.87 in Group I and II, respectively. While the average per capita income at constant prices was 30701 (Anonymous 2007). Living conditions and hygiene status were poor in the Group I. The data revealed that majority (36.67 and 66.67 per cent) of the subjects were in primipara while the remaining 26.67 and 26.67 per cent in second, 16.67 per cent in terci in Group I and 6.67 per cent in multipara in Group II. It was observed that very few subjects visited anganwadis and Primary Health Centre for health services and medical treatment. Very few subjects took iron supplements in both the groups. Lack of awareness was the main cause for not taking supplements.

Nutrient Intake

Table 1 depicted that the mean daily intake of energy by the subjects was 1712.3±158.7 and 1635.6±201.6 and 1920.3±124.8 and 1826.5±181.7 kcal. during both the months of pregnancy. The intake of energy was increased during 9th month and could be due to increased consumption of cereals, sugar and jaggery and fats and oils. The mean daily intake of protein in the two groups was 50.5±4.5 and 49.6±5.8 and 56.2±4.3 and 55.5±5.2g, respectively. The mean daily intake of protein in the two groups was 50.5±4.5 and 49.6±5.8 and 56.2±4.3 and 55.5±5.2g, respectively. The mean daily intake of carbohydrate was 241.6±24.6 and 231.9±31.7 and 269.5±19.5 and 272.3±33.7g in both the groups, respectively. The mean daily intake of fats and oils was 60.4±7.3 and 56.6±7.4 and 68.6±6.0 and 61.2±6.7g during both the months, respectively. The higher intake of fats and oils in both the groups during 9th month and
could be due to more consumption of desi ghee (butter oil) and hydrogenated fat by most of the subjects as they used to put extra ghee in milk, vegetables, Saag and pulses. The average daily intake of β-carotene was adequate during 7th month but decreased in 9th month due to less availability of green leafy vegetables. The intake of retinol, and vitamin-C was more than adequate due to consumption of carrots, green leafy vegetables and citrus fruits whereas the intake of vit-B1, B2, niacin and folic acid was inadequate. Low intake of vit-B12 among the subjects might be due to lack of non vegetarian foods in their diet. It was clearly related to poor purchasing power of the subjects.

**Mineral Intake**

Table 2 depicts the mineral intake of the subjects. The mean daily intake of iron decreased during 9th month in both groups because of decreased intake of green leafy vegetables and jaggery. The intake of calcium, phosphorus, potassium and magnesium was more than adequate due to higher intake of milk and milk products and bananas. Intake of iron, sodium and zinc met less than 50 per cent of the total requirements as suggested by ICMR (1999). Similarly Pathak et al. (2004) reported that nearly 73.5, 2.7, 43.6, 73.4, 26.3 and 6.4 per cent pregnant women were deficient in zinc, copper, magnesium, iron, folic acid and iodine, respectively and over 90 per cent of pregnant women were consuming the nutrients even less than 50 per cent of the recommended intake. Similar results have also been reported by Shobeiri et al. (2003)

**Haemopoetic Profile of the Subjects**

Table 3 depicts the haemopoetic profile of the subjects. The mean daily intake of iron decreased during 9th month in both groups because of decreased intake of green leafy vegetables and jaggery. The intake of calcium, phosphorus, potassium and magnesium was more than adequate due to higher intake of milk and milk products and bananas. Intake of iron, sodium and zinc met less than 50 per cent of the total requirements as suggested by ICMR (1999). Similarly Pathak et al. (2004) reported that nearly 73.5, 2.7, 43.6, 73.4, 26.3 and 6.4 per cent pregnant women were deficient in zinc, copper, magnesium, iron, folic acid and iodine, respectively and over 90 per cent of pregnant women were consuming the nutrients even less than 50 per cent of the recommended intake. Similar results have also been reported by Shobeiri et al. (2003)

---

**Table 1: Average daily nutrient intake of the subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food items</th>
<th>7th Month</th>
<th>9th Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group-I (n=30)</td>
<td>Group-II (n=30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average±SE</td>
<td>Average±SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (Kcal.)</td>
<td>1712.3±158.7</td>
<td>1635.6±201.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (gm)</td>
<td>50.5±4.5</td>
<td>49.6±5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (gm)</td>
<td>241.6±24.6</td>
<td>231.9±31.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fats (gm)</td>
<td>60.4±7.3</td>
<td>56.2±7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β Carotene (µg)</td>
<td>2266.0±128.7</td>
<td>2688.2±109.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinol (µg)</td>
<td>947.5±430.8</td>
<td>292.5±452.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine (mg)</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riboflavin (mg)</td>
<td>1.0±0.3</td>
<td>0.7±0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacin (mg)</td>
<td>11.7±1.3</td>
<td>11.0±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B12 (mg)</td>
<td>0.7±0.0</td>
<td>0.7±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Folic acid (µg)</td>
<td>258.8±65.5</td>
<td>283.0±91.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin–C (mg)</td>
<td>43.6±14.8</td>
<td>46.1±27.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ICMR (1999)
** Significant at 5% level
NS Non-significant at 5% level

**Table 2: Average daily intake of minerals among the subjects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food items</th>
<th>7th Month</th>
<th>9th Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group-I (n=30)</td>
<td>Group-II (n=30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average±SE</td>
<td>Average±SE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium (mg)</td>
<td>897.1±139.0</td>
<td>867.5±139.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus(mg)</td>
<td>1311.2±129.1</td>
<td>1257.2±163.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium (mg)</td>
<td>153.3±24.0</td>
<td>151.0±16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (mg)</td>
<td>1593.4±148.9</td>
<td>1567.6±198.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium(mg)</td>
<td>306.6±37.7</td>
<td>290.0±51.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (mg)</td>
<td>5.2±0.6</td>
<td>48±0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ICMR (1999)
** Significant at 5% level
NS Non-significant at 5% level
the subjects. The mean hemoglobin level was 9.3±0.6 and 9.8±1.01 and 9.5±0.7 and 10.0±0.9g/dl in Group I and II during 7th and 9th months, respectively. Poor hemoglobin levels could be due to low iron intake, poor sanitation, high morbidity status in both the groups but in group I, environmental pollution was the additional factor. Values of Packed Cell Volume (PCV) were 27.5±2.1 and 27.6±2.5 and 29.7±2.2 and 31.0±1.5 per cent Red Blood Cell (RBC) count were 3.5±0.3 and 3.5±0.2 and 3.6±0.2 x 10^6 mm3 in both the groups, during 7th and 9th months of pregnancy and were lower than the standards (Hunter and Bomford 1967). The values of Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV) were 73.5±4.9 and 78.5±4.1 and 75.9±4.9 and 80.8±5.0 fl. Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin (MCH) were 27.8±1.9 and 27.5 ±1.6 and 27.9±2.0 and 28.1±2.1 pg and Mean Corpuscular Hemoglobin Concentrate (MCHC) were 29.8±1.9 and 29.5±1.6 and 29.9±1.9 and 30.1±2.1g/dl which were within the range (Passmore and Eastwood 1987) in two groups during both the months, respectively. Fernando and Berger (2005) reported that pregnant women were at the higher risk of anemia in many industrialized countries and more than 80 per cent cases of anemia in women, especially during pregnancy were associated with iron deficiency component. Whereas Gupta and Gupta (2006) studied 3099 young female population in villages around Bathinda city and showed that anemia was present in 89.5 per cent (2775/3099) females in rural Punjab. It has been stressed that it resulted in the impairment of mental, motor development and behavior of the infants.

### Anthropometric Measurements of Newborns

Anthropometric measurements of newborns in group I as compared to the newborns in group II is presented in Table 4. The mean birth weight was 1923.3±359.0 and 2320.0±426.3g in group I and II, respectively. A significantly (p<0.05) lower birth weight was observed in group I as compared to group II, because of high exposure of environmental pollutants and higher rate of prematurity as the mean gestational age of the newborns in group I and II was 34.2±3.7 and 38.4±0.9 weeks.

### Table 3: Hemapoetic indices of pregnant women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood analysis</th>
<th>7 th Month</th>
<th>9 th Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group–I (n=30)</td>
<td>Group–II (n=30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dl)</td>
<td>9.3±0.6</td>
<td>9.8±1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>27.5±2.5</td>
<td>27.6±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red blood cell count (x10^6 mm3)</td>
<td>3.5±0.3</td>
<td>2.5±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV (g/dl)</td>
<td>73.5±4.9</td>
<td>78.5±4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH (pg.)</td>
<td>27.8±1.9</td>
<td>27.5±1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC (g/dl)</td>
<td>29.8±1.9</td>
<td>29.5±1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at 5% level
NS Non-significant at 5% level
+ Hunter and Bomford (1967)
++ Passmore and Eastwood (1987)

### Table 4: Anthropometric measurements of the newborns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anthropometric measurements</th>
<th>Group–I (n=30)</th>
<th>Group–II (n=30)</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>ICMR8 Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (gm)</td>
<td>1923.3±359.0</td>
<td>2320.0±426.3</td>
<td>2.682**</td>
<td>2700-2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crown-Heel length (cm)</td>
<td>45.9±3.7</td>
<td>47.9±2.6</td>
<td>2.581**</td>
<td>47.7-48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head circumference (cm)</td>
<td>28.7±2.9</td>
<td>30.1±2.4</td>
<td>2.247**</td>
<td>32.6-33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest circumference (cm)</td>
<td>30.3±2.8</td>
<td>31.6±2.5</td>
<td>2.218**</td>
<td>30.2-33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-upper-arm circumference (cm)</td>
<td>9.8±1.4</td>
<td>10.1±1.3</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>12.0-12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ponderal index</td>
<td>1.8±0.2</td>
<td>2.1±1.2</td>
<td>2.112**</td>
<td>2.25-2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age (weeks)</td>
<td>34.2±3.7</td>
<td>38.4±0.9</td>
<td>3.310**</td>
<td>38-42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Gupta (1978)
** Significant at 5% level
NS Non-significant at 5% level
IMPACT OF IRON STATUS OF PREGNANT WOMEN ON THE ANTHROPOMETRY OF NEWBORNS

Bell et al. (2007) reported that an inter-quartile increase in gestational exposure to NO₂, CO, PM10 and PM2.5 (particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter <10, <2.5 μm) lowered the birth weight by 8.9g, 8.2g and 14.7g, respectively. Gouveia et al. (2004) also reported that exposure of 1ppm of CO increase was inversely related to 23g reduction in birth weight. Other anthropometric measurements viz. crown heel length (45.9±3.7 Vs 47.9±2.6 cm), head circumference (28.7±2.9 Vs 30.1±2.4 cm), chest circumference (30.3±2.8 Vs 31.6±2.5 cm) and mid-upper arm circumference (9.8±1.4 Vs 10.1±1.3 cm) were also lower in the newborns in group I as compared to the newborns in group II. Ponderal index can be used to detect aberrant intra-uterine growth independent to gestational age. The average value of Ponderal index was also lower in group I (1.8±0.2) as compared to group II (2.1±1.2) but lower than the reference standard (ICMR 1999) in both the groups due to low birth weight and crown heel length. Pharaoh (2003) reported that low birth weight would be either due to preterm delivery due to exposure of pregnant women to environmental toxins like DDT and other pesticides which led to intra-uterine growth retardation and less gestational age of the newborns. Wilhelm and Ritz (2003) also reported that 10-20 per cent risk of premature birth and low birth weight for infants born to the women living near high traffic areas or industrial areas.

CONCLUSIONS

In brief, the perusal data clearly indicated that poor nutrient intake, iron status and biochemical assessment were indicator of the state of under nutrition among the pregnant women. So, it can be concluded that poor nutrient intake, iron deficiency anemia resulted in low birth weight babies in both the groups. The situation worsened in group I due to maternal exposure to pollutants as all the infants were LBW and gestational age was also lower.

RECOMMENDATIONS

There is need to focus attention on environmental education and sanitation. Besides the remedial techniques, new schemes and laws should be proposed and employed strictly to minimize the environmental pollution and maintain sanitary conditions as it resulted to poor birth outcome. Nutrition education should be imparted by dieticians and extra nutritional care should be given by health workers through mobile dispensaries, work shops and camps. Infrastructure of health services should be strengthened to provide primary health care to the mothers.
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